```
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA
2
                         EASTERN DIVISION
3
                                Case No. 3:17-cr-206-03
4
      United States of America,
5
                          Plaintiff,
6
                 VS.
7
8
      Anthony Santos Gomes,
      aka Ant,
9
                  Defendant.
10
11
12
                         TRANSCRIPT
13
                                 ΟF
14
                        PROCEEDINGS
15
                           (Plea Hearing)
16
17
                              Taken at:
                 Quentin N. Burdick U.S. Courthouse
18
                       655 First Avenue North
                         Farqo, North Dakota
19
20
                            May 14, 2018
                              3:10 p.m.
21
22
23
       BEFORE: Honorable Brian S. Miller
24
25
       COURT REPORTER: Carolyn Taylor Pekas, RPR
```

```
1
                         A P P E A R A N C E S
 2
       Christopher C. Myers, Esq.
         UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 3
         Office of the United States Attorney
         Quentin N. Burdick United States Courthouse
 4
         655 First Avenue North, Suite 250
         Fargo, North Dakota 58102-4932
 5
         (701) 297-7400
         chris.c.myers@usdoj.gov
       COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
 6
 7
       Steven R. Morrison, Esq.
         1526 Robertson Court
 8
         Grand Forks, North Dakota 58201
          (617) 749-7817
 9
         steven.r.morrison@gmail.com
       COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
2.3
2.4
25
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(May 14, 2018. The following proceedings
3	commenced at 3:10 p.m.)
4	THE COURT: The last case on the docket for
5	today is United States of America vs. Anthony Gomes.
6	The case number is 17-cr-206.
7	Mr. Gomes is in the courtroom with his
8	lawyer, Steven Morrison. I have on here Bruce
9	Ringstrom or Steven Morrison, so I've been up here
10	asking who is who.
11	You are Mr. Morrison?
12	MR. MORRISON: You've got it right, Your
13	Honor.
14	THE COURT: No, I didn't get it right. I
15	had help.
16	And the United States is being represented
17	by Christopher Myers.
18	Mr. Gomes, would you stand and raise your
19	right hand so we can swear you in?
20	ANTHONY SANTOS GOMES, having been first duly
21	sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
22	but the truth, testified as follows:
23	THE COURT: Mr. Gomes, how old are you?
24	THE DEFENDANT: 33.
25	THE COURT: And how much education do you

```
1
       have?
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Graduated high school.
 3
                THE COURT: And have you had any drugs or
 4
       alcohol or any type of medication today --
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: No, I haven't.
 6
                THE COURT: -- that would make it difficult
 7
       for you to understand what we're doing?
                THE DEFENDANT: No, not at all.
8
9
                THE COURT: Okay. And do you fully
10
       understand why you're in the courtroom this
11
       afternoon?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. And you're being
14
       represented by Steven Morrison. Have you had a
15
       chance to meet with Mr. Morrison about your case?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
17
                THE COURT: And have you had a chance to
18
       discuss it with him?
19
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Are you satisfied with
21
       the legal representation he's given you so far?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
23
                THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Morrison, have
       you had a chance to meet with Mr. Gomes today?
24
25
                MR. MORRISON: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Is there anything about him that
 2
       would lead you to believe he's not competent to go
 3
       forward with this plea?
 4
                MR. MORRISON: No, Your Honor.
 5
                THE COURT: Okay. I find that the
 6
       Defendant, Anthony Santos Gomes, is competent to go
 7
       forward with the plea.
                Mr. Gomes, it's my understanding that you
8
9
       want to enter a plea of guilty -- well, waive
10
       indictment to an Information and enter a plea of
11
       quilty to the Information and to Count One of the
12
       Superseding Indictment.
                                Is that true?
13
                                That is correct.
                THE DEFENDANT:
14
                THE COURT: Okay. I will tell you that I
15
       have never had a defendant plead quilty to an
16
       Information and to an Indictment at the same time,
17
       and so if I confuse you at any point in explaining
18
       your rights to you, just let me know and we will make
19
       sure we make a good record.
                                     Okav?
20
                THE DEFENDANT: Not a problem.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, let me do this.
                                                          Ι
22
       am going to read the Information first.
                                                This is what
23
       you're being charged with. It says that "From in or
24
       about January 2013 to in or about August 2016, in the
25
       Districts of North Dakota, Oregon, Florida, Georgia,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

North Carolina, New Jersey, California, South Carolina, Ohio, Colorado, Maryland, and elsewhere, Anthony Santos Gomes did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together and with others, to commit an offense against the United States, specifically: to violate Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and (a)(2)(B)(i), in that members of the conspiracy did knowingly and intentionally conduct and attempt to conduct financial transactions in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce within the United States by moving monetary instruments from the United States to Canada and China as described below, which involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the distribution and importation of controlled substances and controlled substance analogues intended for human consumption."

And then it has overt acts. No. Let me step back. I just didn't want to read the statute, but I guess I will. "Title 21, United States Code, Sections 802(32), 812, 813, 841, 846, 848, 952, 960 and 963, knowing that the transactions were designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of

```
1
       the proceeds of the said specified unlawful
 2
       activity."
 3
                Now, let me ask this question before I read
 4
       the overt acts. Mr. Morrison, is there any reason
 5
       for me to read the overt acts, or do you waive that?
 6
                MR. MORRISON: We waive it, Your Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: And, Mr. Myers, any reason to
       read the overt acts? Would you prefer that I do?
8
9
       can. It doesn't matter, but --
10
                MR. MYERS: No, Your Honor, that's fine.
11
       will, in the factual basis, provide adequate support
12
       for the entire Information.
13
                THE COURT: I understand.
14
                And here's the thing, Mr. Gomes.
                                                   Normally,
15
       I read the Information and the Indictment just
16
       because I want to have a record the person
17
       understands what he or she is entering a plea of
18
       quilty to.
19
                So have you had a chance to read this
20
       Information?
21
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
22
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that in
23
       the federal system in the United States we can't
24
       charge you by Information unless you waive
25
       indictment?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you did not waive indictment, that you would have a right to have your case taken to a grand jury which consists of at least 16 but not more than 23 persons, and at least 12 of those grand jurors would have to determine that there's probable cause to believe that a crime has been committed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And what happens is the Government will go into the grand jury and present the Information to the grand jury, and then the grand jury has to determine if there's probable cause.

Now, the grand jury can do a couple of things or several things. The grand jury can determine there is no probable cause to indict you on this count that's listed in the Information; the grand jury could determine that there is probable cause to indict you on the charge contained in the Information; or the grand jury can come back and indict you on something else that's not even listed in the Information. So there are three options, and understand that any one of those could happen. Do you understand that?

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, have you had a
 2
       chance to discuss waiving grand jury with your
 3
       counsel?
 4
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 5
                THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand
 6
       your right to a grand jury?
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
                THE COURT: Have any threats or promises
9
       been made to you to get you to waive indictment?
10
                THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
                THE COURT: And do you waive indictment
11
12
       voluntarily?
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, this came up a
15
       little earlier, Mr. Morrison and Mr. Myers. In my
16
       district back home, normally we have a formal waiver
17
       signed off on, and I understand that in the Bismarck
       division that happens as well. I understand that in
18
19
       Fargo, normally the verbal record or the record of
20
       the hearing suffices for waiving indictment. Just
21
       wanted to make sure we had a record of that.
22
                Is there any objection to proceeding without
23
       signing off on a written waiver form?
24
                MR. MORRISON: No objection from me, Your
25
       Honor.
```

```
1
                THE COURT:
                            Okay.
 2
                MR. MYERS:
                            No, Your Honor.
                            Okay. Mr. Morrison, let me ask
 3
                THE COURT:
 4
       you a question. Do you know of any reason why
 5
       Mr. Gomes should not waive indictment?
 6
                MR. MORRISON: I do not.
 7
                THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Gomes, do you
       understand your right to an indictment by grand jury?
8
9
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                I do.
10
                THE COURT: And do you voluntarily waive it?
11
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes, I do.
12
                THE COURT: And agree to proceed on the
       Information?
13
14
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, also, so you've been
16
       charged by Information, and it's also my
17
       understanding that you want to enter a plea of guilty
18
       to the Information and to Count One of the
19
       Indictment. Is that true?
20
                THE DEFENDANT: That is correct.
21
                THE COURT: Count One -- I thought this was
22
       the one. Count One of this Indictment, it's fairly
23
       lengthy. Normally, I would read the count so that
24
       the Defendant -- so that I have a record that the
25
       Defendant is aware of exactly what he's being charged
```

```
1
       with and what he's entering a plea of guilty to.
 2
                Mr. Morrison, have you had a chance to go
 3
       over this Indictment with Mr. Gomes?
 4
                MR. MORRISON: I have, Your Honor.
 5
                THE COURT: Mr. Gomes, have you had a chance
 6
       to speak with Mr. Morrison about Count One of the
 7
       Indictment?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I've been over it
8
9
       plenty of times.
10
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you waive me reading
11
       this to you? Are you familiar with it?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm very familiar with
13
       it.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. And let me ask the
15
       Government, do you have any objection to me not
16
       reading Count One of the Indictment?
17
                MR. MYERS: No objection.
18
                THE COURT:
                            Because we might be here another
19
       20 minutes if I decided to read all of it.
20
                All right. We'll proceed. Now, you
21
       understand, Mr. Gomes, that just because you have --
22
       it's my understanding you want to enter a plea of
23
       quilty to Count One. Is that true?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that is correct.
25
                THE COURT: Do you understand that just
```

```
1
       because you waive indictment on the Information that
 2
       you're not required to enter a plea of quilty?
 3
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
 4
                THE COURT: And do you also understand that
 5
       you're not required to enter a plea of guilty to
 6
       Count One of the Indictment?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
                THE COURT: And, in fact, on both the
9
       Indictment and the Information, you have a right to
10
       either enter a plea of quilty or stand on your plea
11
       of not quilty, and if you did so, you would have a
12
       right to a speedy and public jury trial?
13
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                 Yes.
14
                THE COURT: And if we were to have a trial,
       it would be held in either this courtroom or the one
15
16
       next door, and we would have 12 jurors who would come
17
       in from the community, just people from the
18
       community, who would sit in those chairs over there
19
       to your right and listen to the case, and the
20
       Government would have to prove the case against you
21
       beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand that?
22
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                I do.
23
                THE COURT: Do you understand that you have
24
       a presumption of innocence, which means you don't
25
       have to prove or disprove anything during the course
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the trial; and, in fact, you have the constitutional right to be free from self-incrimination, which means you don't have to testify at all, and that the jury cannot use that against you? Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: All right. In a case like this, we would probably -- the Government would probably come in and put on witnesses, such as law enforcement, people who bought or sold to the conspiracy, or even coconspirators, if they had any. Those are the types of witnesses we would hear from. Considering that this is -- we do have a drug charge, the Government will probably put on an expert from the crime lab who would come in here and testify as to what the substance is, how it affects the body, the fact that it's illegal, and would probably have

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

commerce. Do you understand that?

somebody testify about it moving in interstate

THE COURT: Now, the Government probably has seized some drugs, probably has other documentary evidence to put in; they might even have photos or videos or even phone taps in some cases, and the Government would want to come in here and display

that to the jury. Do you understand that you would have a right to object to those items coming into evidence, and then I would have to decide whether those items do come into evidence?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that you have a right to confront the Government's witnesses against you, and what that means is Mr. Morrison -- when one of those witnesses testifies, Mr. Morrison would then get up and cross-examine them to make sure that their testimony that has been given is both truthful and accurate. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Now, once the Government puts on its case, then you have some decisions to make. One of those decisions is going to be whether you call witnesses to testify for you. Although you have a right -- although you don't have to prove or disprove anything, if you know somebody who knows something about the case and you want to put them on the witness stand and have them testify, you have a right to do that.

Also, although you have a right to be free from self-incrimination, if you want to sit on this

witness stand with the jury -- in their faces and tell them what happened or what didn't happen, you have a right to do that, too. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Now, understand this, that if you testify, if you call witnesses to testify, then the Government has a right to cross-examine you and your witnesses, also, just like you had a right to cross-examine theirs. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

in, those 12 jurors would go back to the jury room and -- we say "deliberate," but all that means is they'll go back there and talk about the case, and they'll sit back there and they'll try to determine whether the Government proved the case against you beyond a reasonable doubt.

If the jury determines that the Government did not prove the case against you beyond a reasonable doubt, that jury will find you not guilty; and if you're found not guilty, your case is over and the Government cannot bring the case against you again based on these facts. Do you understand that?

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if the jury determines that the Government did prove the case against you beyond a reasonable doubt, the jury will find you guilty; and if you are found guilty, then you have a right to an appeal.

Now, if you do appeal, your case would probably be appealed to three judges sitting in St. Paul, Minnesota, and those judges would not hear new evidence. So if you had a witness who didn't show up for trial, you can't go get a statement from that witness and send it to the judges. If you want to carry a witness up to the Court of Appeals, you can't do it. All the Court of Appeals, those three judges, will do is read the transcript from the trial -- see how the court reporter's sitting here typing?

THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: She'll be at trial, too, and the Court of Appeals will get a transcript of that trial, and they will read it, and they'll determine whether I made any mistakes during the course of your trial that caused you to lose. In the law, that's called "error," but all that means is I made a mistake. Do you understand that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: All right. And if the Court of Appeals determines that I did not make a mistake that caused you to lose, the Court of Appeals will affirm the jury's verdict and your case would be over, because the likelihood of getting to the Supreme Court is almost zero. Just understand that.

If the Court of Appeals determines that I did make a mistake that caused you to lose, the Court of Appeals will reverse the jury's verdict, send the case back down here, and we would try it again. Do you understand?

> THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that the verdict has to be unanimous? So if we had -- all 12 jurors have to agree on a verdict. So if we had 11 jurors who were ruling that there was no -- that you didn't violate the law and one juror who says you did, we have to declare a mistrial and try it again.

At the same time, if we have 11 jurors that find that the Government did prove the case against you beyond a reasonable doubt and only one who decides no, same thing. We have to declare a mistrial and start all over. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Gomes, do you

25

```
1
       have any prior criminal history?
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
                THE COURT: Well, I don't know what your
 3
       history is, so I'll give you this admonition, or I'll
 4
 5
       explain this to you, that by entering a plea of
 6
       quilty, if you had no prior felony convictions, this
 7
       would affect your civil rights. It would mean that
8
       you would no longer be able to vote, own a firearm,
9
       or hold elective office. Do you understand that?
10
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                T do.
11
                THE COURT: Do you also understand that in
12
       the federal system we do not have an expungement law,
13
       so by entering this plea of guilty, this will go on
14
       your record, and I don't have a way of ever removing
15
       it unless Congress passes a law allowing me to.
16
       you understand that?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: I do.
18
                THE COURT: Have you had a chance to speak
19
       with Mr. Williamson about the possible sentence
20
       you'll receive?
21
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
22
                THE COURT: Mr. Morrison. Not Mr.
23
       Williamson.
                    Sorry, Mr. Morrison.
24
                MR. MORRISON: It's okay, Your Honor.
25
                THE COURT:
                            William Morris, maybe? I don't
```

1 know where I got that from. 2 Mr. Morrison has probably gone over all of 3 this with you, and I'll tell you, Mr. Gomes, I think 4 this is my seventh hearing today, and it sounds like 5 just a loop on a recorder; but the truth is, I have 6 to tell you these things, and we have to make a 7 record of it so that the Court of Appeals, if ever it 8 looks at it, will know that I told you all of this. 9 So --10 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: -- I'm sure that Mr. Morrison 11 12 has told you that in the federal system we have a law 13 that tells me what the minimum and maximum sentences 14 are I can give you. I have to give you a sentence 15 within the range provided by the law. 16 understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 18 THE COURT: But in addition to the law, we 19 have sentencing guidelines to help me determine what 20 the appropriate sentence is for you. I am not 21 required to give you a sentence within the range

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Okay. And although I think your plea agreement might have what your guideline range

provided by the guidelines. Do you understand that?

22

23

24

25

and all that is, that's not the official calculation, so I will tell you that I do not have your guideline range, and I don't know what that is right now until probation looks at your background and sees what your criminal history is --

THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- but I do have the law that tells me what your sentencing range is based on the law. The statutory penalty for Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering is not more than 20 years of imprisonment, a fine of not more than \$500,000, not more than three years of supervised release, and a mandatory \$100 special assessment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: And the penalty for Conspiracy to Possess with Intent to Distribute and Distribute a Controlled Substance and Controlled Substance Analogues Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury and Death is not less than 20 years of imprisonment and not more than life of imprisonment, a fine of not more than \$2 million, not less than five years of supervised release, and a mandatory \$100 special assessment. Do you understand?

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that if
 2
       I end up giving you a sentence that's longer than
 3
       what you expect, that you cannot withdraw your plea
 4
       of quilty?
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that we
 7
       don't have parole in the federal system, so whatever
8
       amount of prison time you get, you will not go before
9
       a parole board at some point and get out early?
10
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                T do.
11
                THE COURT: Okay. I understand there is a
12
       plea agreement. Would the Government state for the
13
       record what the basic terms of the agreement are?
14
                MR. MYERS: Yes, Your Honor.
                                               The basic
15
       terms are, as the Court noted, the Defendant will
16
       plead to the Information and Count One of the
17
       Indictment.
18
                We've outlined in the agreement the
19
       quideline range that we've agreed upon, plus some
20
       enhancements. I don't know how detailed, Judge, you
21
       want me to go through that. I sure can.
22
                THE COURT: You don't have to go through
23
       everything. I just want the basics.
24
                MR. MYERS: Yeah. And there's also a
25
       provision for substantial assistance in this
```

```
particular case, and as part of that supplement,
1
 2
       we've agreed to withdraw two prior felony drug
 3
       convictions as part of this particular agreement.
                                                           So
 4
       that, generally, is the --
 5
                THE COURT: What does the plea agreement say
 6
       with regard to appeal rights?
 7
                            There's a standard waiver of
                MR. MYERS:
8
       appeal rights contained in this particular plea
       agreement.
9
10
                THE COURT: And, Mr. Morrison, do you have
11
       anything to add to that, that you just want to put on
12
       the record?
13
                MR. MORRISON: Nothing in particular except
14
       that I'm grateful that the Government is willing to
15
       withdraw the two prior felony drug convictions. I
16
       know that's relatively unprecedented.
17
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gomes, did you
18
       listen to the statement given by the U.S. Attorney?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
19
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Were those the terms you
21
       understood were contained within the plea agreement?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. Having discussed your
23
       rights with you, do you still want to enter a plea of
24
25
       quilty?
```

```
1
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
 2
                THE COURT:
                            Have any promises or any threats
 3
       been made to you to get you to plead quilty?
 4
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                No.
 5
                THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because
 6
       it's either what you want to do or it's in your best
 7
       interests?
                THE DEFENDANT:
8
                                Yes.
9
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Myers, would you
10
       state for the record what the facts would show if we
11
       were to have a trial on Count One of the Superseding
12
       Indictment and on the Information?
13
                MR. MYERS: Yes, Your Honor.
                                               This is
14
       somewhat of a complicated case, Judge, so I'll do the
       best I can to summarize the evidence that would be
15
16
       relevant to Mr. Gomes.
17
                Now, this conspiracy started in 2013 and
18
       2014.
              Individuals in Canada, identified as Jason
19
       Berry and Daniel Vivas Ceron, were housed in the
20
       Drummondville Correctional Institute about an hour
21
       north of Montreal. They began -- through
22
       communication devices inside the prison, began to
23
       broker and receive shipments of fentanyl and fentanyl
24
       analogues from China.
25
                THE COURT: You said inside the prison?
```

```
1
                MR. MYERS:
                             Inside the prison.
 2
                THE COURT:
                             The prison is located where?
 3
                MR. MYERS:
                             In Ouébec, about 70 miles north
 4
       of Montreal.
 5
                THE COURT:
                             So you had some Canadian
 6
       prisoners running a drug operation out of the prison?
 7
                MR. MYERS:
                             Yeah.
 8
                THE COURT:
                             Okay.
9
                MR. MYERS:
                             That's not all. There's more.
10
                THE COURT:
                             What's funny is -- and maybe I
11
       don't know anything because I live down in Arkansas,
12
       but we often hear about how great Canada's penal
13
       system is and how it works, and now I'm hearing that
14
       you have a drug-dealing operation going on in the
15
       prison. And I'm not talking about somebody just
16
       bringing in drugs for the prisoners to use, but the
17
       prisoners in there running the operation?
18
                MR. MYERS:
                             Right.
19
                THE COURT:
                             Oh, wow.
20
                            And these individuals had an
                MR. MYERS:
21
       organization on the outside that was assisting them
22
       in the distribution system, but they had
23
       communication devices within the walls of the prison
24
       and were orchestrating this particular operation.
25
                Again, that started in 2013 and 2014.
```

Mr. Gomes, at that time, was living in Rhode Island, and he and another individual started receiving shipments of fentanyl to Rhode Island, and they began distributing this fentanyl in the form of pills.

They would take fentanyl and press pills and distribute them in Rhode Island. And then the Defendant moved to Florida and continued that operation until his arrest in October of 2017. And so this was ongoing for quite some time.

During the course of this particular conspiracy, Anthony Gomes and his girlfriend, Elizabeth Ton, and a number of other coconspirators, when receiving these shipments from Canada or directly from China as brokered by the Canadians, they would send Western Union or other money wires, bank transfers, to individuals in Canada, and also, at the end, bitcoin, virtual currency. All of this was designed to conceal the identity of the true individuals running at least the Rhode Island and Florida part of this particular operation.

Mr. Gomes and others would have coconspirators or friends send the money on their behalf as part of the money laundering conspiracy. And that continued throughout the -- this several-year period.

So as this was happening, Judge, in January of 2015, in Grand Forks, North Dakota, which is an hour north of here, an individual named Bailey Henke died from a fentanyl overdose. From that investigation, law enforcement identified the local traffickers in Grand Forks and arrested a number of them.

From that particular investigation, within a matter of weeks, they were able to identify one of the sources of supply in Portland, Oregon, a guy named Brandon Hubbard. They conducted surveillance, executed a search warrant on Brandon Hubbard, and found him in possession of about 250 grams of fentanyl. Brandon Hubbard was dealing directly with the same sources of supply in Canada, Berry and Ceron.

As that investigation is happening, one of Brandon Hubbard's coconspirators, his girlfriend, actually, smuggled some of the fentanyl into the correctional facility when she was arrested. There were three overdoses in Portland. Two of those survived, although with substantial bodily harm; one of the inmates died.

And law enforcement in Portland initiated an undercover investigation using Brandon Hubbard's

identification, his Wickr communication, which is an application that destroys itself, designed for anonymity with these traffickers.

Anyway, Homeland Security initiated an undercover operation into the Canadian organization. At least they believed it was a Canadian organization. Throughout that investigation, they realized that DEA in West Palm Beach, Florida, had also initiated an undercover investigation into the same Canadian trafficking organization. At some point in time, we all realized we were working on the same investigation and combined efforts, along with RCMP in Canada.

These undercover investigations resulted in a number of controlled buys that are listed in the overt acts of the conspiracy. Some of those purchases were delivered directly to North Dakota during the undercover operation, which continued until the summer of 2015 when law enforcement was able to isolate a time and a place where Daniel Vivas Ceron had a communication device and they searched his jail cell in Canada.

In Canada, they found him in possession of a phone and also -- I guess I would call them pay/owe sheets, paper documentation of all of the individuals

involved -- or at least many of the individuals involved in the conspiracy, including some of the tracking numbers and undercover names of the agents.

By this time, Jason Berry had been shipped to a different prison in Canada, so Vivas Ceron had been running it from Drummondville. The investigation continued from that point. Vivas Ceron was indicted. He was deported to Columbia from Canada. We arrested him in Panama, and he fought extradition for about 18 months in Panama.

During that particular time, Vivas Ceron was able to get a phone in the Panamanian jail, and, actually, we learned that from Mr. Gomes; and he continued to deal with the Chinese from Panama, in addition to Mr. Gomes and the other coconspirators, as this conspiracy continued until he was extradited to the United States.

From that -- or during this entire time,

Judge, from 2013 to present, law enforcement has been conducting a historical investigation into the drug-trafficking activities of all of these individuals.

There were a number of witnesses through the conspiracy that confirmed Anthony Gomes and Elizabeth Ton and their trafficking activities during the

course of this particular conspiracy, among others.

We also uncovered an overdose death in North Carolina of James Williams and two other substantial bodily harm overdoses in North Carolina that are attributed to this particular conspiracy.

We've also identified an overdose death in

New Jersey, Daniel Lajterman. Both the

North Carolina and New Jersey overdoses are listed in
the Indictment. Those happened in 2014.

And so that kind of summarizes the overall case, Judge, as best I can. In short, Anthony Gomes was dealing directly with Daniel Vivas Ceron and the Canadians in brokering transactions with the Chinese during the entire time of the conspiracy. That conspiracy branched out, basically, to most of the United States, including the District of North Dakota; and, of course, some of the overt acts occurred in North Dakota, to establish venue.

When law enforcement secured an arrest warrant for Anthony Gomes, he was residing in Florida. Law enforcement executed a search warrant at his residence in October of 2017. He was found in possession of about \$162,000. During that search, there was also a letter from Daniel Vivas Ceron seized in his residence that further establishes the

1 connection between these individuals. 2 The reason I mentioned the money and the residence in Florida, as part of this plea agreement, 3 4 Mr. Gomes has agreed to forfeit the \$162,836, a 2007 5 Maserati, 2016 Polaris Sportsman, and jewelry seized 6 at that particular residence in Florida valued at 7 about \$64,725. 8 The investigation would -- does reveal that 9 Mr. Gomes' primary source of income, really his only 10 source of income, or at least -- was derived from 11 drug trafficking during this time frame. 12 He did set up several businesses in Rhode 13 Island and Florida during the course of the 14 conspiracy in an attempt to hide or make his 15 drug-trafficking activities appear legitimate, and 16 Mr. Gomes was one of several conspirators that did 17 that through the course of the conspiracy. 18

So I think that's an adequate factual basis, Judge, to support the pleas to Count One and the Information.

Unless the Court has any questions, I'll end at this time.

THE COURT: Mr. Gomes, did you listen to the statement given by the U.S. Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
                THE COURT: Was his statement accurate?
 2
                MR. MORRISON: Your Honor, may I take a
 3
       couple minutes with my client?
 4
                THE COURT: You can. Of course.
 5
                MR. MORRISON:
                               Thank you.
                (Mr. Morrison and the Defendant confer
 6
 7
       privately.)
8
                MR. MORRISON: Your Honor, before you
9
       address Mr. Gomes, may I make a couple points?
10
                THE COURT: Of course.
                MR. MORRISON: Certainly, we're here so that
11
12
       Mr. Gomes can change his plea, and we're not
13
       contesting the elements.
14
                There are a couple things that when we get
15
       to sentencing we may very well contest, but that
16
       certainly doesn't mean we want to go back on our
17
       plea.
18
                I think at this point there are two things
19
       that Mr. Myers said that we would somewhat want to
       clarify. It was only later on that Anthony knew that
20
21
       Ceron and Berry were operating from prison. Early
22
       on, he didn't know that.
23
                I know this is -- this is a pretty big
24
       conspiracy. This is -- I mean, this is a
25
       consolidated priority organization target. Less than
```

100 have been designated in this century by the DOJ.

This is a pretty serious thing. Anthony being part of it is a serious thing. But, certainly, one thing we're going to do at sentencing, as anybody would, is try to show his place in the overall conspiracy, which compared to people like Ceron and the Chinese, was relatively small.

Just one final point that I'd like to clarify, or at least challenge at this point.

Mr. Myers alleged that Anthony's legitimate businesses were set up to launder money. In fact, they were set up to be legitimate businesses, and at sentencing we would certainly contest that they were set up to launder money. And as for that, I just will let him speak for himself.

THE COURT: I understand. And your statement is essentially to preserve the record so that you can come back at sentencing and make those arguments.

MR. MORRISON: I had a client a few years ago who pled guilty to wire fraud, and at sentencing he challenged a lot of what the Government was saying, and the Court at that point questioned whether he was going back on his plea agreement.

THE COURT: And from time to time I'll have

```
1
       a defendant who will say: Judge, I admit that I
2
       committed the offense, but I didn't do what he just
 3
       said I did; and I'm here to admit that I committed
       the offense, but when he says I did this, this, and
 4
 5
       this, I didn't do that.
 6
                That's fine.
 7
                MR. MORRISON: Okav.
                THE COURT: And then that's left for
8
9
       argument at sentencing.
10
                Now, let me ask Mr. Myers. Mr. Myers, you
11
       know, sometimes I'll -- almost never, but sometimes
12
       I'll have a U.S. Attorney say, "Judge, if he's not
13
       willing to say these things, then I don't think we
14
       can enter the plea."
15
                I don't think that's where you are on this,
16
       is it?
17
                MR. MYERS: No, Judge, not at all. And, as
18
       a matter of fact, I would agree that it's likely that
19
       at least early on during the conspiracy Mr. Gomes
20
       didn't know that these individuals were in prison.
21
       Law enforcement didn't know for sure that they were
22
       in prison until we searched his jail cell. So I
23
       think -- some of the nuances of the facts I think we
24
       can leave to argue at sentencing. The only other
25
       thing -- and as long as the Defendant admits to the
```

```
1
       elements of the crime, we're fine with that.
 2
                The one thing, Judge, that he must admit to,
 3
       and I think it's important to create a record of
 4
       that, is that the deaths and serious bodily injury
 5
       were reasonably foreseeable by him based on the scope
 6
       of the conspiracy that was occurring. Aside from
 7
       that, the defense is free to argue different facts at
8
       sentencing and his role and all of those things.
9
                THE COURT: What's your position on that,
       Mr. Morrison?
10
11
                MR. MORRISON: I thank Mr. Myers for
12
       bringing that up. Absolutely Mr. Gomes recognizes
13
       the elements of conspiracy, and Pinkerton liability
14
       in particular, and so of course he does admit
15
       responsibility for the deaths, but at sentencing we
16
       will absolutely be arguing that he took steps to
17
       distance himself from the danger that fentanyl poses.
18
                THE COURT: Okay. All right.
19
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
                MR. MORRISON:
                THE COURT: I think a sufficient record's
20
21
       been made on that.
22
                All right. Mr. Gomes, did you listen to the
23
       statement given by the U.S. Attorney?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
                THE COURT: And did you listen to the
```

```
1
       clarifications given by Mr. Morrison?
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. As clarified by
 3
 4
       Mr. Morrison, do you agree to your participation as
 5
       set forth by the U.S. Attorney?
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: And do you understand the nature
 7
8
       of the charges against you and the maximum penalties
9
       you face?
10
                THE DEFENDANT: I do.
11
                THE COURT: And how do you plead to Count
12
       One of the Superseding Indictment?
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
14
                THE COURT: And how do you plead to the
       Information?
15
16
                THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
17
                THE COURT: Okay. Did you, in fact, commit
18
       the offense as charged in the Information?
19
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                THE COURT: And did you, in fact, commit the
21
       offense as charged in Count One of the Superseding
22
       Indictment?
23
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
                THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Morrison, do you
25
       know of any reason why I should not accept these
```

```
1
       pleas of guilty?
 2
                MR. MORRISON: No, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: All right. I find that the
 4
       charge as set forth in Count One of the Superseding
 5
       Indictment and the charge as set forth in the
 6
       Information were committed by the Defendant, Anthony
 7
       Gomes.
8
                I also find that Mr. Gomes is entering this
9
       plea of guilty voluntarily with full knowledge of his
10
       rights, the facts, and the consequences that he
11
       faces, and for those reasons, I accept the guilty
12
       plea.
13
                Do we have any other counts that need to be
14
       dismissed? I don't think we do, do we?
15
                MR. MYERS: No, not at this time, Judge. We
16
       typically would move to dismiss those at sentencing.
17
                THE COURT: I knew that because I was told
18
       that earlier. Our practice is once we enter the
19
       plea, we dismiss the other counts, and I notice up
20
       here, when I've been doing sentencings, at the end of
21
       the sentencing somebody will say, well, I need to
22
       dismiss the charges. I knew that, and I also knew I
23
       would mess that up.
24
                All right. What will happen at this point,
25
       Mr. Gomes, is probation will come out and perform a
```

presentence investigation. Probation will look into your background, will compile any offenses that you have, and will list those in the presentence report. Probation will also go through your family history, the history of this case, and all of that.

Probation will issue a report that will go to Mr. Morrison, and a copy will go to the Government. When Mr. Morrison gets his copy, he'll either sit down with you and go over it or he'll send it to you. Most likely, he'll sit down with you. What I ask you to do is look at that report closely, because the information contained in that report will be the information that the sentencing judge will use to determine what an appropriate sentence is for you, so if there's anything in that report that's not accurate, let Mr. Morrison know.

What he'll do is he'll file an objection.

Normally, he'll sit down with probation and they'll work it out. But every now and again I'll have a defendant who will say, "Well, I didn't commit that robbery in Chicago," and probation will look and say, "Well, all of our identifiers indicate that's you" or that was him, and so there's a real disagreement, and we'll have to have a hearing to determine what the truth is. I don't think that will happen, but every

```
1
       now and again it does. That's why it's important for
2
       you to let Mr. Morrison know if anything in that
 3
       presentence report is inaccurate. Do you understand?
 4
                THE DEFENDANT: I do.
 5
                THE COURT: Once that's all done, you'll
 6
       come back and be sentenced. My information -- or I
 7
       have a note here that you'll be sentenced on
8
       August 6th of 2018 at 2:30, and Judge Karen Schreier
9
       will be here to sentence you. Do you understand?
10
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
                THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else we
12
       need to take up on this case?
13
                MR. MYERS: Not at this time, Judge.
14
                MR. MORRISON: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. Well, let's adjourn
15
16
       until 9 o'clock in the morning.
17
                 (These proceedings were concluded at
18
       3:50 p.m.)
19
2.0
2.1
2.2
2.3
2.4
25
```

## 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Carolyn Taylor Pekas, Registered 3 Professional Reporter, P.O. Box 886, Fargo, North 4 Dakota, do hereby certify that the foregoing 5 thirty-eight (38) pages of typewritten material 6 constitute a full, true and correct transcript of my 7 original stenotype notes, as they purport to contain, 8 of the transcript of proceedings reported by me at 9 the time and place hereinbefore mentioned. 10 11 12 /s/ 13 Carolyn Taylor Pekas P.O. Box 886 14 Fargo, North Dakota 58107 15 16 17 Dated this 13th day of June, 2018. 18 19 2.0 2.1 22 2.3 2.4 25